EXHIBIT G

NATIONAL COURT REPORTING

| Ī | |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 2 | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO |
| 3 | WESTERN DIVISION |
| 4 | |
| 5 | CARL G. SIMPSON & BONNIE REED |
| 6 | SIMPSON, CO-ADMINISTRATORS OF THE |
| 7 | ESTATE OF CARL D. SIMPSON, et al. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Plaintiffs, |
| 10 | |
| 11 | VS. CIVIL ACTION |
| 12 | No. C-1-000014 |
| 13 | |
| 14 | INTERMET CORPORATION, et al., |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Defendants. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | DEPOSITION OF THOMAS DERSHEM |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | STIPULATIONS |
| 22 | IT IS STIPULATED AND |
| 23 | AGREED, by and between the parties, |

NATIONAL COURT REPORTING

1 1630s that were equipped with a light 2 beam or some type of light curtain 3 that would prohibit the activation of 4 a solenoid valve if there was 5 something within a pinch point? Is 6 that something you are familiar with? 7 Α. No, I am not. 8 Is that something that O . 9 could be done? 10 Α. I am not a proponent of 11 light curtains. 12 And why is that? 0. 13 Α. Because I have never been 14 in a facility where they have 15 properly checked a light curtain, and 16 a light curtain would not have 17 prevented anyone from manually 18 operating a valve. 19 So, hydraulic solenoid 20 valves could not be equipped with a 21 light beam light curtain that would 22 prohibit the activation of that valve 23 if something were in a pinch point;